

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is in response to an Office Action dated May 2, 2007. In response to the restriction requirement in this Office Action, Applicant respectfully elects for further prosecution, the claims of Group I, namely Claims 1, 4-10, 19, 29-31, 34, 36, 38-39, 43 and 45.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to now respond to the Amendment dated February 1, 2007.

Additionally, Applicant has now revised Claims 11-18, to make them depend either directly or indirectly from Claim 1. Accordingly, when Claim 1 (which is in Group I) is allowed then these claims are to be also allowed, due to their dependency from Claim 1.

Furthermore, Applicant has also revised Claims 32-33 to make them depend either directly or indirectly from Claim 29. Hence, when Claim 29 (which is in Group I) is allowed then these claims are also to be allowed, due to their dependency from Claim 29.

Finally, Claims 46-47 are now made dependent on Claim 1. Therefore, these claims are also to be allowed when Claim 1 (which is in Group I) is allowed.

In view of the above remarks, Applicant submits that all pending claims should now be examined.

As per the remarks in the Amendment dated February 1, 2007, Applicant submits that all claims are in form for allowance and allowance thereof is respectfully requested. Should there be any questions concerning this paper, please call the undersigned at (408) 982-8203.

Respectfully submitted,



Omkar K. Suryadevara
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 36,320